

---

**From:** Nassif, Julianne (DPH)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 07, 2009 12:51 PM  
**To:** O'Brien, Elisabeth (DPH)  
**Cc:** Salemi, Charles (DPH); Sprague, Shirley (DPH); Ridley, Stephen (DPH)  
**Subject:** RE: Expedited requests

For those jurisdictions that have provided authorized contacts, please defer the ADA to that person to triage the requests. Some counties have not yet provided contact names and I will remind them to do so. I agree that there must be a short grace period but after say, January 20th, I would refuse the request unless the DA provides a POC (I think judges' court orders would be the exception). Unless we are consistent in enforcing the requirement for each DA to provide a POC for their county, you are correct compliance will be very low.

Julie

---

**From:** O'Brien, Elisabeth (DPH)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 07, 2009 12:15 PM  
**To:** Nassif, Julianne (DPH)  
**Cc:** Salemi, Charles (DPH); Sprague, Shirley (DPH); Ridley, Stephen (DPH)  
**Subject:** Expedited requests

Hi Julie

Is there a particular way you would like us to handle the expedited requests that are coming in? None of them are from authorized POC'S and we have gotten a few court orders allegedly from judges. I can bring over copies of faxed requests so you can see where and who these are coming from. I can understand having a certain grace period, but I have a feeling future compliance is going to be low.

Thanks, Betsy